

REMARKS

Applicants hereby provisionally elects with traverse the invention of Figure 2. Applicant believes that Claims 1-10 read on Figure 2. It is noted that Claim 9 has been amended to delete the words "planar surface" with the word "receptacle".

Applicant respectfully traverses the restriction requirement, noting that figures 1-5 as clearly described and shown in the application correspond to the same embodiment. As noted on page 4, lines 20-34 in the Brief Description of the Drawings, Figures 1-5 depict the same window box invention. The only differences in these figures is that Figures 3 and 4 depict a window box being coupled to a different support. It is noted in the claims that the supports are not claimed; rather, it is only the window box structure that is being claimed. More specifically, Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the window box, Figure 2 is a schematic representation of the side view of the window box, Figures 3 and 4 depict the window box coupled to different forms of supports, and Figure 5 is a side view of the window box shown in Figure 1. Figure 6 depicts a second embodiment of the invention, namely, the free standing window box. Figure 6 (species 6) is not claimed. Species 7 is not shown in the Figures, but corresponds to the subject matter of Claims 11 and 12. Species 8 is not illustrated, but corresponds to the subject matter of claim 13.

It is also noted in the Examiner's restriction requirement that the Examiner has not provided reasons as to why the various species are patentably distinct. Therefore, the Examiner's restriction requirement is traversed with regard to the species restriction of species 1-5.

Respectfully submitted,
SHERIDAN ROSS P.C.

By: 

Brent P. Johnson
Registration No. 38,031
1560 Broadway, Suite 1200
Denver, Colorado 80202-5141
(303) 863-9700

Date: 3/6/06